

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/772,690	02/05/2004	Leslie G. Fritzemeier	038190/273847	5143
826	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			MORILLO, JANELL COMBS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TE, NC 28280-4000	1742	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/772,690	FRITZEMEIER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>3 May 2006</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Ap	plication No			
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur	, , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)			
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>020504</u> .	6) Other:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			

Application/Control Number: 10/772,690 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luton (US 4,818,481).

Luton teaches an aluminum alloy comprising alloying elements selected from a group comprising Mg and other additives including Ti and Zr (column 6 lines 63-column 7 line 6), 0.1-0.5vol% aluminum oxy-nitrides (column 6 line 42, column 15 lines 22-23) which increase the strength of the aluminum matrix by at least 10% (column 6 lines 37-38). Luton teaches cryomilling in nitrogen and forming ox-nitrides in amounts that fall within the instant nitrogen range.

Luton does not specify the amounts of said additives. However, because Luton teaches the amount of aluminum matrix metal is preferably >90%, then the balance of said alloy would to be said additives. Therefore, because Luton teaches an overlapping alloying ranges, it is held that Luton has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention (MPEP 2144.05).

Application/Control Number: 10/772,690 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

Response to Amendment

3. In the response filed on May 3, 2006 applicant submitted various arguments traversing the rejections of record. The ODP rejection has been overcome.

- 4. Applicant's argument that the present invention is allowable over the prior art of record because Luton teaches the total amount of oxy-nitrides present will be at least 10% has not been found persuasive. Luton teaches incorporating 0.1-0.5vol% aluminum oxy-nitrides (column 6 line 42, column 15 lines 22-23) which *increase the strength of the aluminum matrix by at least 10%* (column 6 lines 37-38). The examiner points out that the amount of refractory materials present in the instant alloy (and therefore held to be within "substantially free") is discussed in the specification at [0016], and the content of said refractory materials is preferably present in ≤ 0.5vol%, which is met by the range taught by Luton.
- 5. Concerning the argument that the oxy-nitrides taught by Luton are excluded by the "consisting essentially of" language of independent claim 10, the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. In re Herz, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976). The applicant has not shown that the addition of said oxy-nitrides would affect the basic and novel characteristic of said alloy.

Terminal Disclaimer

6. The terminal disclaimer filed on May 3, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,902,699 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,690 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.